

Future Intensivists: FICM Trainee Conference

Abstract Judging Criteria: Innovation (Research / QIP)

Criteria & Description	Marking
Relevance to Intensive Care Medicine	
- Addresses an important issue in intensive care medicine or is relevant to current challenges or advancements in the field (0-2 marks)	
Originality and Innovation	
- Presents new ideas, concepts, or approaches OR offers innovative solutions or perspectives to existing problems (0-2 marks)	
Scientific Rigor	
- Research design is appropriate for the study objectives (1 mark)	
- Clearly describes and justifies the methodology (1 mark)	
- Evidence of appropriate statistical analysis where necessary (1 mark)	
Clarity and Coherence	
- Clearly and coherently written (1 mark)	
- Well-organised and presented in appropriate sections (1 mark)	
- Abbreviations/acronyms are defined (1 mark)	
Significance and Impact	
- Demonstrates the significance of findings or outcomes presented (1 mark)	
- Potential to influence clinical practice or further research (1 mark)	
Contribution to Knowledge	
- Contributes new knowledge or insights to intensive care medicine or advances understanding of the topic (0-2 marks)	
Quality of Results/Conclusions	
- Results/conclusions align with the study objectives (0-2 marks)	
- Conclusions are supported by presented data (0-2 marks)	
Overall Impression	
- Overall assessment of quality, importance, and potential impact of the abstract (0-2 marks)	
TOTAL SCORE (max 20)	

Abstract Judging Criteria: Case Reports

Criteria & Description	Marking
Relevance to Intensive Care Medicine	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Addresses a relevant clinical case encountered in intensive care medicine or demonstrates the significance of the case within the context of intensive care practice (0-2 marks) 	
Originality and Innovation	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Presents a unique or rare clinical case OR offers innovative diagnostic or therapeutic approaches (0-2 marks) 	
Clinical Presentation	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides a comprehensive description of the patient's clinical presentation (1 mark) - Includes relevant history, physical examination findings, and diagnostic workup (1 mark) 	
Diagnostic Approach	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Describes the diagnostic approach and rationale (1 mark) - Includes pertinent laboratory, imaging, and other diagnostic findings (1 mark) 	
Therapeutic Management	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Describes the therapeutic interventions implemented (1 mark) - Discusses the rationale behind treatment choices (1 mark) 	
Outcome and Follow-up	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Provides the patient's outcome and any follow-up information (1 mark) - Discusses lessons learned from the case and implications for clinical practice (1 mark) 	
Contribution to Knowledge	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Contributes new insights or understanding to the field of intensive care medicine (0-2 marks) 	
Clarity and Coherence	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clearly and coherently written (1 mark) - Well-organised and presented in appropriate sections (1 mark) - Abbreviations/acronyms are defined (1 mark) 	
References and Citations	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Includes appropriate references supporting diagnostic and therapeutic decisions (1 mark) 	
Overall Impression	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Overall assessment of the quality, relevance, and educational value of the case report (0-2 marks) 	
TOTAL SCORE (max 20)	